

While I've tried to keep my case (see Case Study section) as understandable as possible for the lay person, if it comes across as too much to digest, then I ask you to answer just one question:

While I have done a lot to make my case, including my multiple Reports and Irish High Court case, I have also spent a lot of time getting my case out there not only by sending it out to many thousands of targeted emails over the years (perhaps even ten to twenty thousand) but also publicizing my case including via the commenting platforms of major news media sources such as the WSJ, Washington Post, Financial Times, National Review, Huffington Post, New York Times (briefly) and others.

Additionally, many lawyers have received my case directly and I've no doubt many others have been exposed to it based on some of the articles I have commented on in the above media. Both Irish and lawyers from other countries. Therefore, while I don't have an exact total figure (but at least one thousand Irish lawyers received it directly, that is, statistically every lawyer in Ireland), I would guesstimate a couple of thousand directly, but it could be thousands more if the many hundreds of international organizations and institutions I sent my case to refer it on to others.

And I have kept my Failte 32 website active for many years, and it now platforms my case (over recent years). And according to my web stats, many unique visitors have reviewed my site to some extent over the years.

So, what am I getting at?

I had simply asked many of these lawyers going back many years for a legal opinion on my case. I would pay them for this service even to prove me wrong. Not one offered to give me an opinion, not even lawyers outside of Ireland. So, what does this mean? It seems to mean that lawyers are reluctant to give an opinion against the Irish Government on corruption grounds for some reason/s?

Perhaps some have approached the Irish Government to let them know that they will take my case unless the Irish Government gives them some referral business or other quid pro quo? I've referred to a case in my first Report that likely did this.

But one thing is certain, if my case had no validity, I would have gotten a legal opinion on it long ago...and the lawyer would have gotten paid by me for doing what they're meant to do.

So, I ask you to answer one question - why do law firms, as part of the services they offer, refuse to simply give me an expert opinion on my case, even if just to tell me I'm wrong?

Even without you being fully familiar with my case, perhaps your answer will be enough?